

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/11
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):** | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: | 15 | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 95.65 % | 100.00 % | 13 | 97.21 % | 35 | 37 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 93.57 % | 96.12 % | 11 | 90.53 % | 60 | 60 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 5.66 | 7.28 | 1 | 4.97 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 95.31 % | 1 | 85.01 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 98.75 % | 79.38 % | 2 | 73.71 % | 92 | 94 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 93.65 % | 93.12 % | 8 | 81.48 % | 74 | 80 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 57.61 % | 63.40 % | 10 | 45.54 % | 68 | 0 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 99.75 % | 99.12 % | 9 | 96.14 % | 51 | 55 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 4 / 15 | Nationally: | 94 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$23,936 | \$27,139 | | \$28,248 | 394 Units | 52.30 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$12,288 | \$20,061 | | \$15,487 | 178 Units | 23.60 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$8,904 | \$20,974 | | \$0 | 27 Units | 3.60 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$5,334 | \$1,863 | | \$3,211 | 154 Units | 20.50 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Spokane County WA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$71,093 | \$94,280 | \$26,600 |
| State:* | \$120,762 | \$122,349 | \$26,995 |
| National:** | \$101,183 | \$78,322 | \$24,013 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.5 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.99

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 88.5 | 89.9 | 92.6 | 71.4 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 28.0 | 25.8 | 18.5 | 28.6 |
| Black/African American: | 2.8 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 14.3 | Elderly: | 14.5 | 0.6 | 37.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 37.4 | 42.7 | 14.8 | 42.9 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 2.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 16.3 | 28.7 | 25.9 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 3.6 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 28.6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 4.1 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 14.3 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 39.2 | 25.3 | 40.7 | 42.9 | Section 8: | 23.7 | 1.1 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 22.1 | 23.0 | 18.5 | 57.1 | HOME TBRA: | 2.8 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 17.6 | 23.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 | Other: | 3.6 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 13.5 | 16.9 | 18.5 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 70.0 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 4.6 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 2.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | 433 | |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Spokane County State: WA Group Rank: 94
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 4 / 15 PJs Overall Rank: 0
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 79.77% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 57.73% | 98.75 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 93.65 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.23% | 99.75 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.200 | 1.07 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

